Avalon Trace was an unsubsidized but affordable housing complex in the Cottage Grove community of East Greensboro, North Carolina. The 176-unit building had been in extremely poor condition for many years and was an eyesore for the residents who lived there and the surrounding community. These apartments were what real estate industry folks commonly refer to as a “Class C” property, buildings that are generally older and in need of repair, though housing advocates considered them to be an “F” because they were failing the residents and the neighborhood.

Housing advocates were long aware of the problems at Avalon Trace. The Greensboro Housing Coalition (GHC), where I am the executive director, is a nonprofit that advocates for fair, safe, and affordable housing in Greensboro. GHC’s Healthy Homes team regularly fielded and worked to resolve tenant complaints at the Avalon Trace complex. Over the years, our staff has visited some of the tenants’ homes and has seen virtually every problem you could imagine, including backed up sewage, mold and moisture issues, and severe and persistent roach and vermin infestations. Because their sinks wouldn’t drain, some residents were forced to wash their dishes in bathtubs.

The owners of the property were free riders in a system that allowed them to profit while residents, the surrounding community, police and fire departments, the local health department, the health care system, advocates, local philanthropy, and others footed the bill. Almost every community has a place like Avalon.
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several programs that aim to address the health disparities in the area. For instance, Cone Health is a major partner on a BUILD Health Challenge grant that focuses on the Cottage Grove community. The challenges are run by the de Beaumont Foundation, with funders including the Kresge and Robert Wood Johnson foundations. Their role includes access to data.

GHC has been involved in a community-centered health program funded by Blue Cross Blue Shield of North Carolina Foundation where we are working with the Cottage Grove neighborhood to address upstream social drivers of health that had been identified by community members. The main health priority identified by the community was asthma and respiratory issues caused by housing conditions, which largely led to the focus on improving substandard housing in Cottage Grove.

Because the community kept asking us what could be done about Avalon Trace in particular, we decided to make an effort to work with the owners of the property. At the time, the owners were out-of-state investors whose property was being poorly run by their own management company. Residents would attempt to get the management company to make repairs, and very little, if anything, would happen. It became apparent to GHC and our partners that the owner did not have adequate cash reserves or cash flow to make the needed repairs and were likely using the rental income to either service debt or to line their pockets instead of investing in the property.

Our initial strategy was to use “carrots” in the form of philanthropic investment, which would come via grants made through GHC by the Community Foundation of Greater Greensboro on behalf of the Avalon Trace residents. We met with one of the owners in November 2016 and offered to invest up to $1,200 per occupied unit for things like roof, plumbing, and HVAC repairs; replacement of carpet with solid surface flooring; and sheetrock replacement if they would agree to correct any other outstanding violations of Greensboro’s minimum housing standards. At first the owners showed interest, but then they stopped returning our phone calls. After several months it became clear that they were stalling so that they could try to sell the property.

Bringing in Codes—Selectively

By the time spring 2017 rolled around, the property was losing residents as people became tired and moved out. Vacancies increased to the point that most of the development’s 13 buildings, which range from 8 to 22 units, had at least one vacant unit that had
safety issues, such as broken windows or missing doors. Occupancy was at 60 to 70 percent. During conversations with residents and the community, there were discussions of whether we should use Greensboro’s complaint-driven code enforcement system and petition for the entire property to be inspected by the city. If we petitioned the entire property, code officials might condemn many of the occupied units and force people to leave. Residents were adamant that that was not acceptable.

So we collectively decided that petitioning the whole property might cause more immediate harm to renters who had nowhere else to go. While those who had other options mostly did leave (except for a group of Burmese refugees who prioritized staying together to preserve their sense of community despite the conditions), Greensboro’s rental market is extremely tight and many did not have options. Wage growth has been only 4 to 5 percent over a period of about 5 years, during which time rents have increased by nearly 40 percent on average, leaving low-income households with choices of “bad” or “worse.”

We agreed that the open and abandoned units with all of their broken glass and other hazards should at least be closed, boarded, or otherwise secured to protect children. We asked if the city’s code compliance team could inspect and cite only the unoccupied, unsecured, and abandoned units at Avalon Trace, and they agreed. Since the city had been at the table as part of our Invest Health team, it understood completely and also did not want to be the cause of a mass displacement. Avalon Trace began racking up violations and the resulting fines, without any immediate displacements.

Greensboro Housing Coalition and some of its community partners, including Verna Torrain, the president of the Cottage Grove Neighborhood Association, began speaking with news outlets to turn up the heat and make it more uncomfortable for the owners not to make repairs, or to at least encourage a change in ownership. Several local news stories ran about the conditions at Avalon and the plight of its residents. Shortly thereafter, a listing for Avalon Trace appeared on a commercial real estate website. We crossed our fingers and hoped for the best.

Meanwhile, CHCS, GHC, the City of Greensboro, Cone Health, and the community development group East Greensboro Now had been selected for a grant from the Robert Wood Johnson Foundation and the Reinvestment Fund through the Invest Health program. Invest Health was working with leaders in 50 mid-sized cities to develop new strategies for increasing and leveraging private and public investments to accelerate improvements in neighborhoods that face the biggest barriers to better health. Greensboro’s Invest Health team identified Avalon Trace as a potential joint project.

Our first thought was that the Invest Health team and the community foundation would support an effort by our partner, the Piedmont Triad Council of Governments, to purchase and rehab the development. We were working with local developers to put a deal together and Piedmont Triad Council was on the verge of making an offer on the property when we found out that it was already under contract.

At the same time, because the code enforcement violations in the empty units had not been dealt with, the property owner was referred to a hearing at the city’s Minimum Housing Standards Commission (MHSC), a quasi-governmental commission set up to deal with persistent unaddressed code violations. For years, the commission had basically two choices: give a property owner more time to make repairs, or vote to demolish the property. But in 2015 the Municipal Housing Code was amended to include a third option—the city can make the repairs and then attach the bill as a first-priority lien to the property.

GHC mobilized Cottage Grove residents, Cone Health, and a doctor who operates a clinic in the community to attend the meeting and advocate for this third option. Because of these voices, the owners were not granted an extension and an order to repair was issued. Once the repair order is issued, the lender and other parties are notified. The repair lien would have priority over the mortgage, so notification to the lender was integral to applying the pressure on the owner to do something or to sell.

An Unexpected Relationship

Shortly after the meeting, Carolina Community Investments (CCI), the prospective buyer who had the property under contract, called me to ask about the property and whether GHC could assist with repairs and renovation. This resulted in several conversations and ultimately several in-person meetings. Though CCI was a for-profit real estate developer/manager, and GHC is a nonprofit housing advocate, we realized we were mostly on the same page in our vision of what the apartments could be if they were purchased and stabilized. All parties believed that a “clean Class C” vision was obtainable and reasonable.

We began in-depth conversations about how to make this work, including potential financing. Each conversation and meeting led to greater understanding of the strengths, weaknesses, and barriers to success that each party faced, and led to a deeper understanding of what it would take to make the partnership work.

Once we agreed to move forward together, the question was there to... do all the work that needed to be done without increasing rents, so offsetting the future higher rents with utility savings was very important.
of financing was central. CCI was looking at financing options from local and national banks, and even considering venture capital investors, who charge higher interest rates. We knew that every dollar CCI used on debt service would be one that would not be invested in the repair and upkeep of the property. Through Invest Health, we had a relationship with The Reinvestment Fund (TRF), a national community development financial institution, so we introduced CCI and TRF to see if there was a way to obtain more favorable financing rates. Financing from The Reinvestment Fund is impact driven, and the organization was very interested in the health impact of our project. CCI and The Reinvestment Fund negotiated financing for almost 80 percent of the acquisition and rehab costs at an interest rate nearly half what CCI could have gotten in the private market.

The City of Greensboro Neighborhood Development Department, which was also part of the Invest Health team, committed about $500,000 in short-term 1 percent loans to pay for energy efficiency upgrades, such as new HVAC systems, windows, doors, insulation, water-saving shower heads, and toilets. This was important to the project for two reasons: First, it was a low-interest contribution to needed repairs to the property. Second, these energy- and water-saving upgrades would lower the utility costs for the mostly low-income residents. Although the goal was to keep the development affordable to current residents, we recognized that there was no way to spend the funds to do all the work that needed to be done without increasing rents, so offsetting the future higher rents with utility savings was very important.

Meanwhile, CCI’s owner began to engage with the community after the contract was accepted. I was cautious about bringing her around until we were we were pretty clear that the deal had a good chance to close. Doing so any earlier would have jeopardized our standing among this community by getting their hopes up and failing to follow through. We needed to be strategic. However, once it became clear that they would close, she immediately restarted the Dumpster services which bought her some grace with the community members since the seller had stopped paying vendors and there were mountains of trash on the property in the month or two before closing.

**Working Together**

There were some tense moments and continue to be as this project moves forward. We have used the premise that we can disagree, but we cannot disengage. Before we started working together, I had no idea what a capital stack or a pro-forma was, and I didn’t understand much about lending for this large project. Before we started working together, CCI had never dealt with nonprofits. So far, most of our issues have revolved around money and liquidity. Nonprofits are slow even when we are fast. Board decisions require meetings, phone meetings require unanimous consent, and it takes a week to get a check cut and signed.

Recently a check from CFGG took longer than expected to arrive and to clear, which held up our check to CCI for repairs and improvements they had already completed. Happily, it worked out and CCI was able to make its payroll.

We push them to maintain a balance between completing new units for new tenants and addressing the needs of existing tenants. It’s a balancing act that’s tough for everyone.

**Getting Over the Finish Line**

Putting the financing and other details together took a significant amount of time and the looming deadline for CCI to close or lose its $75,000 earnest money deposit threatened to derail the project. GHC approached the Community Foundation of Greater Greensboro (CFGG) and a grant was made to GHC, which paid $25,000 toward a second $75,000 earnest money deposit to buy time for the deal to close. In short, we assumed some of CCI’s risk. In partnership with CFGG, we then committed an additional grant of $125,000 toward health and safety repairs, such as pest control, new locks, smoke detectors, plumbing and electrical repairs, and the replacement of old carpet with cleanable solid surface floors. CFGG has been instrumental both as a convener and a funder in Greensboro, especially as it relates to housing for the most vulnerable. GHC’s partnership with CFGG for the improvements at Avalon is funded through a private donor-advised fund, but there were still several hurdles to overcome in this process, including getting both the CFGG and GHC’s boards of directors to vet and approve the funding with the deadlines approaching.

Part of the agreement under which a building belonging to a for-profit received the benefit of philanthropy and below-market loans was that CCI would maintain affordability of the units post-rehab. CCI, GHC, and CFGG signed an agreement to this effect. The agreement is not specific about a definition of affordability or time frame for maintaining it, which is something GHC would do differently, should we enter into a similar agreement in the future. It also does not have a way to claw back the funds if CCI fails to deliver, which seemed too difficult a legal lift to include in any enforceable way. Nonetheless, we see a low risk of the units becoming unaffordable in the short term because of the natural affordability of the community in which they are situated, and also because of the trust that has been
formed between CCI and GHC, and the transparency with which we have been working.

We signed these agreements and paid the $25,000 in additional earnest money to the escrow agent in early December 2017. Because of the complexity of the financing, questions about the pro-forma, and the overall fact that none of the parties (the city, The Reinvestment Fund, GHC, or the buyer) had ever done anything remotely resembling this, it took until mid-March 2018 to close the purchase. There were some tense moments between January and March when many thought the deal would fall through and the owner would walk away with our collective deposit money. Even after the purchase closed, it took even longer for the city rehab loan to close so there was sufficient cash flow to get units fixed. By the time the ownership was transferred, the occupancy rate at the property was down to around 40 percent.

The property has thankfully now been renamed Cottage Gardens, which smartly acknowledges the community where it sits. The people of Cottage Grove are proud of their roots, and the complex is becoming something they feel good about.

Right now, the property is approaching a 60 percent occupancy level, and sewer line upgrades are mostly completed. It’s anticipated that the complex will be at 80 percent occupancy by the end of October 2018.

As part of the BUILD Health Challenge, Cone Health is planning to examine emergency department utilization after the property is stabilized. We also gave the residents who were there at the time of the closing a health questionnaire and asked about the conditions of their units. Next spring we will ask the same people the same questions and compare.

We were fortunate to have a buyer and investor who not only wanted to seize an opportunity to make money on a run-down property over the long term, but who also had a triple-bottom line philosophy, meaning they were interested in social, environmental, and financial returns. We realize that with many other for-profit investors this would not be the case. “As a developer you can do well by rehabilitating and managing C class properties,” says Brittany Kielhurn, the principal for CCI. “However, these projects are an extraordinary amount of work, and you really have to care about doing something good for the community as well.”

Local leadership at many levels of city government, philanthropy, health care, housing advocacy, and most importantly the community were all crucial in bringing about change at Avalon Trace. We can’t give anyone a blueprint for a specific and replicable model to fix unhealthy, poorly maintained apartments. But our experience shows that if you are willing to listen to community members and residents, to collaborate with partners across sectors including for-profits, and to get really creative, you can make a huge positive impact on people’s lives.

BRETT BYERLY is executive director of The Greensboro Housing Coalition.

To comment on this article, go to bit.ly/SF192Byerly or write to letters@shelterforce.org.
I didn’t grow up with a front porch. My suburban Washington, D.C., neighborhood featured backyard patios behind tall fences where families gathered in privacy. When my husband and I moved to Indianapolis in 1991, we chose an older neighborhood with historic homes that had large porches, small yards, and sidewalks. We enjoyed those things, but brought our suburban habits with us and promptly built a back deck, rendering the front porch a mere decoration. It wasn’t until 2007 that I rediscovered my front porch as a communal space, which changed my perspective of what community could be.

I am not a sports fan, but gathering with neighbors to watch Indianapolis Colts games got us tailgating on neighbor’s porches, enjoying a cold beverage and snacks for an hour or so before the game. Eventually, football season ended, but the long conversations and relaxation continued. As one month faded into another, the gatherings simply became known as “porching.” Now every Sunday afternoon, a neighbor will text “porch?” and by 3 p.m., a handful of residents will gather on someone’s front porch, laughing and sharing stories of the week.

It was during those Sundays on the front porch that I began to observe how the social connections that were being formed had a profound effect not only on the emotional well-being of friends and neighbors, but on our community as a whole. Our gatherings had become less exclusive, with new guests joining us each week. Passersby who stopped to chat were welcomed. From young singles and married couples, to teenagers and empty nesters, the porch seemed to unite all ages, genders, and cultural identities. It became our countercultural weapon to creating a human-centric community presence—something that had faded as a result of suburbanization, air conditioning, privacy fences, and attached garages.

From my porch swing, I observed that when people were connected, they were happier, which led me to believe they were healthier. After all, scientific evidence suggests that there is a strong connection between happiness and improved health. Over the years, I watched as unemployed neighbors found jobs, and divorced neighbors found spouses, life changes that would most certainly reduce stress and increase one’s happiness. And through these social gatherings, we all found a sense of belonging. It’s said that loneliness and social isolation is as bad for your health as smoking 15 cigarettes a day, so by socially connecting with one another, our neighborhood became healthier.

By 2013, I wondered if porching could translate into a broader community-building initiative to strengthen our city—by connecting people in neighborhoods.

**Combating Isolation**

What had been personal became professional. Through my role as executive director of the Harrison Center for the Arts, I was concerned about community health. Artists need support structures to thrive, and we have long helped them with housing, employment, and social connections. We believed that the healthier the neighborhoods around the art center, the healthier our artists would be. So we
Residents of several Indianapolis neighborhoods are gathering on front porches and lawns to connect with their fellow community members, and it’s having a transformative affect.

Conduct informal research and learned that many longtime urban residents were lonely and felt disconnected from their community. They longed for the “good old days” when they knew their neighbors, when eyes were on the street, and when gathering on a porch was a cultural activity. Changes in the community, including an increase in crime and vacant lots, the threat of gentrification, and the loss of culture, made residents stop going on their porches like that had in the past. We also learned that new residents didn’t know the neighborhood’s story and were dealing with their own feelings of isolation from modern living as well.

Since the 1980s, the percentage of American adults who say they're lonely has doubled, from 20 percent to 40 percent. As social isolation continues to grow in cities across the country, research shows the physical and mental health consequences—altered immune systems, disrupted sleep patterns, and an increased risk of heart disease, stroke, and an earlier death—are taking a toll on lonely people, many of whom are elderly.

To help combat the isolation felt throughout our urban neighborhoods and to rebuild the connecting fabric that had been lost, we launched an initiative that eventually became #PorchPartyIndy. At first we targeted older neighborhoods that had large porches, small front yards, and sidewalks designed to foster a community that interacts and intersects. It was a simple campaign. We encouraged people to be visible, sit on their porches, have their eyes on the street, to porch; we encouraged neighbors to host small porch parties. We visited neighborhood association meetings, sought out neighborhood champions and civic leaders and spread the word about the power of porching through social media and sponsored events.

We thought the concept was so simple that it didn’t need instructions, but we realized that the front porch lifestyle was so foreign in this modern age that people wanted help. So we created a step-by-step guide and video to distribute to those who were interested and reiterated that porching was meant to be spontaneous and easy. We communicated through informal language on a simple, artist-inspired flyer that could be easily distributed through neighborhood associations at local businesses, restaurants, and during community events.

The 10 easy steps include:
• Pick a weekend afternoon or evening.
• Invite 6 to 10 neighbors.
• Gather porch furniture around a small table filled with fixings.
• Offer some finger foods.
• If you have time, get creative and make your own food. Break out a family recipe.
• Serve refreshing drinks like lemonade or iced tea in the summer.
• Offer cider or hot cocoa in the cooler months.
• Put on some of your favorite music.
• Enjoy good conversation, community, and a chance to connect with your neighborhood in a new way.
• Take pictures and share on social media to encourage others to begin porching.
• Smile and wave at each passerby. The point is to build a sense of togetherness.

Over the next few years, porching gained momentum. What started in one urban Indianapolis neighborhood spread first to 13 neighborhoods and then eventually to 52 counties in the state. When one downtown condo developer presented the city board with architectural plans that didn’t engage the community, a commissioner said, “Haven’t you heard of porch parties? Come back with porches on that building.” Even the Indianapolis Motor Speedway, through the Indy 500, embraced porch parties and is now promoting them as a civic celebration during the month of May. Personally, I plan my family’s travel schedule so that we are home on Sunday afternoons to porch.

Reaching Residents Who Were Left Behind

While many residents were participating and the movement was growing, it became evident as we monitored social media posts and attended neighborhood association meetings that many longtime residents were being left behind. The neighbors participating in #PorchPartyIndy were sorted by their financial ability and energy level to host a porch party. We wanted to make our porching initiative more inclusive. Drawing on our community development skills and knowledge of how to create connections between people and our community, we realized the time had come to not only encourage residents to host their own parties, but for the Harrison Center to intervene and host porch parties for some of our neighbors.

In the summer of 2018, we worked with local youth to collect oral histories in the neighborhood. Our youth planned a series of parties for older residents. Several of our residents didn’t have a formal front porch any more. Some porches were torn down due to disrepair, and other homes lost their porches when they were “modernized” as architectural styles changed. We improvised by setting up a picnic table in
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their front yards. Porching isn't about the physical porch, but a lifestyle of engaging the street.

Before the party, we organized a group of Harrison Center interns to visit the homes of residents we had met through neighborhood association meetings. At those meetings, we noticed that some of these neighbors expressed strong opinions and concern for their community and this convinced us that they had powerful stories to tell. We queried them about their favorite foods and colors to ensure we catered to their porching style.

For instance, we discovered that Miss Terri loves purple, so we arrived with a table for her front yard covered with a purple tablecloth, and served purple carrots, purple chips, and grapes. Miss Jimmie turned 101 and was tired of the same old cake, so we put candles in her favorite dessert, a pecan pie.

A Restorative Power

Along the way we learned that aside from helping to break the cycle of isolation, porching has become a powerful forum for community storytelling. Sharing stories and inviting new people to understand and take part in documenting their stories show people that they are valued, which has measurable health benefits for storytellers and listeners alike. The restorative power of nostalgia contributes to feelings of optimism, which has been linked with improved mood, less pain, and a host of other positive wellness outcomes.

Ultimately, art captures our hearts and propels us into a movement. Porching has become a muse for our artists. It has inspired them to draw upon their creativity to document and elevate the stories of our neighbors and the history of the neighborhood through paintings, songs, billboards, and videos. A recent public art installation, Greatriarchs of Monon, came out of artist Abi Ogle’s experience attending six porch parties at longtime residents’ homes. We unveiled the art on the wall of a vacant building in the neighborhood while we “porched” together.

Porching isn’t just for small towns. It is needed now more than ever in cities and neighborhoods where we spend so little time thinking about the world outside the four walls we live and work within. Welcoming neighbors to our “porch” builds community. When people are connected within neighborhoods, their neighborhoods are healthier. As we build these connections throughout various neighborhoods in Indianapolis, the anticipation is that our city as a whole will become healthier. Creating a sense of knowing, belonging, and connection to where we live and a renewed sense of the important role all people play is critical in making a community healthy and whole.

To comment on this article, go to bit.ly/SF192Taft or write to letters@shelterforce.org.

JOANNA TAFT is the executive director of the Harrison Center for the Arts in Indianapolis.